

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,272	10/24/2003	Jordon D. Honeck	P11374.00	2358
27581	7590 07/06/2006	,	EXAMINER	
MEDTRONI	•		BOCKELMAN, MARK	
710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\bigcirc \gamma$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/693,272	HONECK, JORDON D.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
<u> </u>	——· This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde		
Disposition of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ight priority under do 0.0.0. 3	113(4) (4) 51 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		oplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	received.
		•
Attachment(s)	🗀	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview So Paper No(s`	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
B) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(08) 5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_ '

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-41, drawn to a lead holder, classified in class 606, subclass.
- II. Claims 42-44 drawn to a method of placing a lead, classified in class 607, subclass 116.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the lead holder could be used for holding other items to be inserted into the body.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon the election of either group 1 or 2 above, the examiner further requires the following election of species.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I figures 1-4

Species II - figure 6

The species are independent or distinct because they are disclosed as alternative embodiments.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MWB

June 23, 2006

MARK BOCKELMAN DRIMARY EXAMINER